

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/045,400	11/29/2001	Chulso Moon	P-CAN 1004 4431		
7590 07/03/2006			EXAM	INER	
LISA M HEMMENDINGER			YU, MISOOK		
BANNER & W	ITCOFF LTD				
1001 G STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ELEVENTH FLOOR			1642		
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4597			DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/045,400 <sub>.</sub>	MOON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	1642		

	MISOOK YU,	Ph.D.	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the co	er sheet with the	correspondence add	iress			
THE REPLY FILED 11 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day ving replies: (1 tice of Appeal ce with 37 CFR	as filing a Notice of ) an amendment, af (with appeal fee) in 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) $\bowtie$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date	•						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7.	ater than SIX MC (b). ONLY CHEC	NTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	ion.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the or shortened statutor than three mon	corresponding amount bry period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropr pinally set in the final Offi	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (	37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ie appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and w);	d/or search (see NO	TE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei				the issues for			
(d)   They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:   See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)		- '	jected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.			ampliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ed Notice of Non-Co	Impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		nitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1,43-48,50,51,56 and 64-66.	⊠ will not be vided below or	entered, or b) 🔲 wantered, or b) 🔲 wantered.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>52-55 and 58-63</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>57</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on t d sufficient rea	the date of filing a N sons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An evelential of the control of the contr	vercome <u>all</u> re y and was not	jections under appe earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT pla	ace the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☒ Other: applicant is arguing with the non-entered amendment</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or nent.						
	_	/	misodi ;				
			MISOOK YU, Ph.I	D.			

Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1642

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 52 and 53 require further consideration as to whether the claims meet the written description requirement and enablment requirement. The original claim 12 says that aggressiveness of the NSCLC tumor is by detecting methylation of DAP-kinase, not the lower-expression of the gene. Many factors other than methylation at the promoter of a gene controls expression of a gene..

MISOOK YU PRIMARY EXAMINER

misrd 7